IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

SAN ROCCO THERAPEUTICS, LLC,)
Plaintiff,))) C.A. No. 21-1478-RGA
v.)
) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
BLUEBIRD BIO, INC. and THIRD ROCK)
VENTURES, LLC,)
)
Defendants.)

SCHEDULING ORDER

This 25 day of May, 2023, the Court having waived an initial Rule 16(b) scheduling conference pursuant to Local Rule 16.1(b), and the parties having determined after discussion that the matter cannot be resolved at this juncture by settlement, voluntary mediation, or binding arbitration;¹

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. <u>Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures</u>. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, the parties shall make their initial disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1) within thirty days of the date of this Order.
- 2. <u>Joinder of Other Parties and Amendment of Pleadings</u>. All motions to join other parties, and to amend or supplement the pleadings, shall be filed on or before **October 30, 2023**.
 - 3. <u>Discovery</u>.

¹ Defendants note that on May 24, 2023, they moved to stay this case pending resolution of two *inter partes* review proceedings filed on October 18, 2022. (*See* D.I. 98.) Plaintiff plans to oppose the motion.

- a. <u>Fact Discovery Cut Off.</u> All fact discovery in this case shall be initiated so that it will be completed on or before May 30, 2024.
- b. <u>Document Production</u>. Document production shall be substantially complete by January 31, 2024.
- c. <u>Requests for Admission</u>. A maximum of **fifty (50)** requests for admission are permitted for each side.
- d. <u>Interrogatories</u>. A maximum of **twenty-five (25)** interrogatories, including contention interrogatories, are permitted for each side.
 - e. <u>Depositions</u>.
- i. <u>Limitation on Hours for Deposition Discovery</u>. Each side is limited to a total of seventy (70) hours of taking testimony by deposition upon oral examination. A deposition shall not exceed seven (7) hours unless otherwise agreed to by the parties. If a single deponent testifies as a fact witness pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(1) and as corporate witness pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6), the parties will discuss if additional deposition time is needed. The foregoing seventy (70) hour limitation does not apply to expert depositions.
- ii. <u>Location of Depositions</u>. Any party or representative (officer, director, or managing agent) of a party filing a civil action in this district court must ordinarily be required, upon request, to submit to a deposition at a place designated within this district.

 Exceptions to this general rule may be made by order of the Court or by agreement of the parties.

 A defendant who becomes a cross-claimant or third-party plaintiff shall be considered as having filed an action in this Court for the purpose of this provision.
- f. <u>Discovery Matters and Disputes Relating to Protective Orders</u>. Should counsel find they are unable to resolve a discovery matter or a dispute relating to a protective

order, the parties involved in the discovery matter or protective order dispute shall contact the Court's Case Manager to schedule an in-person conference/argument. Unless otherwise ordered, by no later than seven business days prior to the conference/argument, any party seeking relief shall file with the Court a letter, not to exceed three pages, outlining the issues in dispute and its position on those issues. By no later than five business days prior to the conference/argument, any party opposing the application for relief may file a letter, not to exceed three pages, outlining that party's opposition. A party should include with its letter a proposed order with a detailed issue-by-issue ruling such that, should the Court agree with the party on a particular issue, the Court could sign the proposed order as to that issue, and the opposing party would be able to understand what it needs to do, and by when, to comply with the Court's order. Any proposed order shall be e-mailed, in Word format, simultaneously with filing to

If a discovery-related motion is filed without leave of the Court, it will be denied without prejudice to the moving party's right to bring the dispute to the Court through the discovery matters procedures set forth in this Order.

g. <u>Miscellaneous Discovery Matters.</u>

i.

The parties will follow the Delaware Default Standard for Discovery, Including Discovery of Electronically Stored Information ("ESI") ¶¶1-5.]

- ii. <u>Initial Patent Disclosures</u>: The parties will make initial patent disclosures as set forth in the Delaware Default Standard for Discovery ¶¶4(a)-(d) as set forth below. As these disclosures are "initial," each party shall be permitted to supplement.
 - a. By **June 15, 2023**, plaintiff shall specifically identify the accused products and the asserted patent(s) they allegedly infringe, and produce the file history for each asserted patent.
 - b. By **July 13, 2023**, defendants shall produce to the plaintiff the core technical documents related to the accused product(s), including but not limited to operation manuals, product literature, schematics, and specifications.
 - c. By **August 10, 2023**, plaintiff shall produce an initial claim chart relating each accused product to the asserted claims each product allegedly infringes.
 - d. By **September 12, 2023**, defendants shall produce to the plaintiff their initial invalidity contentions for each asserted claim, as well as the related invalidating references (e.g., publications, manuals and patents).

iii. Other Litigation:

- a. Defendant bluebird bio, Inc. (with defendant Third Rock Ventures LLC as a real party in interest) filed petitions for *inter partes* review ("IPRs") before the Patent Trial & Appeal Board ("PTAB") against the '179 Patent and '061 Patent on October 18, 2022. *See* IPR2023-00070 and IPR2023-00074. The PTAB instituted IPRs with respect to claims 1, 10, 19, and 22 of the '179 Patent and claims 1, 2, 5-8, 11, and 15 of the '061 Patent on April 24, 2023.
- b. Plaintiff filed a Complaint on April 27, 2023 in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts against Defendants in this action as well as Nick Leschly, Mitchell Finer, Philip Reilly, and 2seventy bio, Inc. The Complaint asserts claims under the Federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1964(a), (b), (c), and (d), and Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 93A, §

- 11, as well as fraudulent inducement related to the execution of the 2020 Settlement Agreement.
- c. Plaintiff filed a Complaint on October 5, 2021 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center and Sloan Kettering Institute of Cancer Research concerning the '179 Patent and '061 Patent.
- d. Plaintiff does not expect to institute further litigation in the District of Delaware or other Districts within the next year.
- e. Defendants do not expect to file further IPRs.
- iv. <u>Licenses and Settlement</u>: Per the February 7, 2023 Arbitration Award, "Pursuant to paragraph 2 of the November 2, 2020 Settlement Agreement, as supplemented by the December 1, 2022 Exclusive Patent License Agreement among MSK and SRT under the 'further action' provision of paragraph 2 of the Settlement Agreement, SRT has an 'exclusive, royalty-free commercial license' to U.S. patent Nos. 7,541,179 and 8,058,061, with (a) exclusionary rights and (b) all substantial rights." Defendants are in possession of the 2020 Settlement Agreement and the December 1, 2022 license agreement. Accordingly, Plaintiff has complied with Paragraph 3(g)(iv) of the Court's scheduling order. All parties shall be prepared to discuss at the conference what their preliminary views of damages are.
- 4. Application to Court for Protective Order. Should counsel find it will be necessary to apply to the Court for a protective order specifying terms and conditions for the disclosure of confidential information, counsel should confer and attempt to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order and submit it to the Court within thirty days from the date of this Order. Should counsel be unable to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order, counsel must follow the provisions of Paragraph 3(f) above.

Any proposed protective order must include the following paragraph:

Other Proceedings. By entering this order and limiting the disclosure of information in this case, the Court does not intend to preclude another court from finding that information may be relevant and subject to disclosure in another case. Any person or party subject to this order who becomes subject to a motion to disclose another party's information designated as confidential pursuant to this order shall promptly notify that party of the motion so that the party may have an opportunity to appear and be heard on whether that information should be disclosed.

- 5. <u>Papers Filed Under Seal</u>. When filing papers under seal, a redacted version of any sealed document shall be filed electronically within seven days of the filing of the sealed document.
- 6. <u>Claim Construction Issue Identification</u>. On or before **September 28, 2023**, the parties shall exchange a list of those claim term(s)/phrase(s) that they believe need construction and their proposed claim construction of those term(s)/phrase(s)². This document will not be filed with the Court. Subsequent to exchanging that list, the parties will meet and confer to prepare a Joint Claim Construction Chart to be filed no later than **October 12, 2023**. The Joint Claim Construction Chart, in Word format, shall be e-mailed simultaneously with filing to rga_civil@ded.uscourts.gov. The Joint Claim Construction Chart should identify for the Court the term(s)/phrase(s) of the claim(s) in issue, and should include each party's proposed construction of the disputed claim language with citation(s) only to the intrinsic evidence in support of their respective proposed constructions. The Joint Claim Construction Chart should include an explanation of why resolution of the dispute makes a difference. A copy of the patent(s) in issue as well as those portions of the intrinsic record relied upon shall be submitted

² If a party proposes a construction of a term to be its "plain and ordinary" meaning, the party must explain what that meaning is. If a term is arguably a means-plus-function term, and a party does not propose a function and a structure, it is waiving any right to propose a function and a structure at a later time.

with the Joint Claim Construction Chart. In this joint submission, the parties shall not provide argument.

7. <u>Claim Construction Briefing</u>³. Plaintiff shall serve, but not file, its opening brief, not to exceed 5,000 words, on **November 17, 2023**. Defendants shall serve, but not file, their answering brief, not to exceed 7,500 words, on **December 22, 2023**. Plaintiff shall serve, but not file, its reply brief, not to exceed 5,000 words, on **January 19, 2024**. Defendants shall serve, but not file their sur-reply brief, not to exceed 2,500 words, on **February 16, 2024**. No later than **February 23, 2024**, the parties shall file a Joint Claim Construction Brief. The parties shall copy and paste their unfiled briefs into one brief, with their positions on each claim term in sequential order, in substantially the form below.

JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

- I. Representative Claims
- II. Agreed-upon Constructions
- III. Disputed Constructions
- A. $[TERM 1]^4$
- 1. Plaintiff's Opening Position
- 2. Defendant's Answering Position
- 3. Plaintiff's Reply Position

³ As each brief is written and provided to the opposing party, the individual responsible for verifying the word count will represent to the other party that it has so verified and by what means. These verifications should not be provided to the Court unless a dispute arises about them. Pictures, Figures copied from the patent, and other illustrations do not count against the word limit. Plaintiff should include with its opening brief one or more representative claims with the disputed terms italicized. Should Defendant want to add additional representative claims, Defendant may do so. The representative claims and the agreed-upon claim constructions do not count against the word limits.

⁴ For each term in dispute, there should be a table or the like setting forth the term in dispute, the parties' competing constructions, and why resolution of the dispute matters. The table does not count against the word limits.

- 4. Defendant's Sur-Reply Position
- B. [TERM 2]
 - 1. Plaintiff's Opening Position
 - 2. Defendant's Answering Position
 - 3. Plaintiff's Reply Position
 - 4. Defendant's Sur-Reply Position

Etc. The parties need not include any general summaries of the law relating to claim construction. If there are any materials that would be submitted in an appendix, the parties shall submit them in a Joint Appendix.

8. Hearing on Claim Construction. Beginning at 9:00 a.m. on March 20, 2024, the Court will hear argument on claim construction. Absent prior approval of the Court (which, if it is sought, must be done so by joint letter submission no later than the date on which answering claim construction briefs are due), the parties shall not present testimony at the argument, and the argument shall not exceed a total of three hours. When the Joint Claim Construction Brief is filed, the parties shall simultaneously file a motion requesting the above-scheduled claim construction hearing, state that the briefing is complete, and state how much total time the parties are requesting that the Court should allow for the argument.

9. Disclosure of Expert Testimony.

a. Expert Reports. For the party who has the initial burden of proof on the subject matter, the initial Federal Rule 26(a)(2) disclosure of expert testimony is due on or before **July 2**, **2024**. The supplemental disclosure to contradict or rebut evidence on the same matter identified by another party is due on or before **August 20**, **2024**. Reply expert reports from the party with the initial burden of proof are due on or before **September 20**, **2024**. No other expert reports will be permitted without either the consent of all parties or leave of the Court. If any party believes that an expert report does not comply with the rules relating to timely disclosure or

exceeds the scope of what is permitted in that expert report, the complaining party must notify the offending party within one week of the submission of the expert report. The parties are expected to promptly try to resolve any such disputes, and, when they cannot reasonably be resolved, use the Court's Discovery Dispute Procedure or the complaint will be waived.

Along with the submissions of the expert reports, the parties shall advise of the dates and times of their experts' availability for deposition. Depositions of experts shall be completed on or before **October 30**, **2024**.

- b. <u>Objections to Expert Testimony</u>. To the extent any objection to expert testimony is made pursuant to the principles announced in *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993), as incorporated in Federal Rule of Evidence 702, it shall be made by motion no later than the deadline for dispositive motions set forth herein, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.
- 10. <u>Case Dispositive Motions</u>. All case dispositive motions shall be served and filed on or before **December 6, 2024**. No case dispositive motion under Rule 56 may be filed more than ten days before the above date without leave of the Court. Absent an order of the Court upon a showing of good cause, each side is limited to one forty-page opening brief, one forty-page answering brief, and one twenty-page reply brief for all of its Daubert and case dispositive motions.
- 11. <u>Applications by Motion</u>. Except as otherwise specified herein, any application to the Court shall be by written motion. Any non-dispositive motion should contain the statement required by Local Rule 7.1.1.
 - 12. <u>Pretrial Conference</u>. On **April 11**, **2025**, the Court will hold a Rule 16(e) final pretrial conference in Court with counsel beginning at 9:00 a.m. The parties shall file a

joint proposed final pretrial order in compliance with Local Rule 16.3(c) no later than 5 p.m. on the fourth business day before the date of the final pretrial conference. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the parties shall comply with the timeframes set forth in Local Rule 16.3(d) for the preparation of the proposed joint final pretrial order.

- 13. Motions in Limine. Motions in limine shall be separately filed, with each motion containing all the argument described below in one filing for each motion. Any supporting documents in connection with a motion in limine shall be filed in one filing separate from the motion in limine. Each side shall be limited to three in limine requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court. The in limine request and any response shall contain the authorities relied upon; each in limine request may be supported by a maximum of three pages of argument and may be opposed by a maximum of three pages of argument, and the party making the in limine request may add a maximum of one additional page in reply in support of its request. If more than one party is supporting or opposing an in limine request, such support or opposition shall be combined in a single three page submission (and, if the moving party, a single one page reply). No separate briefing shall be submitted on in limine requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court. Motions in limine shall be filed by such deadline that all motions will be fully briefed no later than the deadline by which the parties must file the proposed final pretrial order.
- 14. <u>Jury Instructions, Voir Dire, and Special Verdict Forms</u>. Where a case is to be tried to a jury, pursuant to Local Rules 47.1(a)(2) and 51.1, the parties should file (i) proposed voir dire, (ii) preliminary jury instructions, (iii) final jury instructions, and (iv) special verdict forms no later than 5 p.m. on the fourth business day before the date of the final pretrial conference. Areas of dispute shall be identified as narrowly as possible and in a manner that

makes it readily apparent what the dispute is. The parties shall submit simultaneously with filing each of the foregoing four documents in Word format to rga civil@ded.uscourts.gov.

- 15. <u>Trial</u>. This matter is scheduled for a five (5) day⁵ jury trial beginning at 9:30 a.m. on **April 21**, **2025**, with the subsequent trial days beginning at 9:30 a.m. Until the case is submitted to the jury for deliberations, the jury will be excused each day at 5:00 p.m. The trial will be timed, as counsel will be allocated a total number of hours in which to present their respective cases.
- 16. Mediation (for use in non-ANDA patent cases). The Parties are required to engage in good faith in an in-person mediation. They are to hire a jointly agreed-upon mediator. The timing of mediation efforts is left to the discretion of the Parties, but the mediation efforts need to be conducted in advance of the pretrial conference. The Parties are required to submit a joint statement no later than one week before the pretrial conference. The joint statement is to included the identification of the mediator, the lead counsel for each party at the mediation, the length of the mediation, and the certification of the lead mediation counsel that they have engaged in the efforts in good faith. If the Parties anticipate any further efforts by the mediator at the time of the submission, they should so advise. The joint statement should not disclose the substance of any offers, counter-offers, or other negotiations.

/s/ Richard G. Andrews
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

⁵ Five days (i.e., about ten to thirteen hours per side) is the presumptive length of a patent jury trial. If the parties think it is obvious that this will not be enough, they may put in a different length and should be prepared to explain why at the Rule 16 conference. A final decision on the precise length of trial will not be made before the final pretrial conference.